DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #: ___
DATE FILED: ___1/31/2022___

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
JOSE REYES,	X :	
Plaintiff,	:	
-V-	:	ORDER
FINKELSTEIN TIMBERGER EAST REAL	:	21-CV-4790 (JLC)
ESTATE LLC,	:	
Defendant.	: X	

JAMES L. COTT, United States Magistrate Judge.

WHEREAS, the parties underwent a court-ordered mediation and reached a settlement in principle; and

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to consent to my jurisdiction over this case under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) so that their settlement agreement may be reviewed by me;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties are directed to file a joint letter motion along with their fully executed settlement agreement **no later than March 2, 2022** to request court approval. The letter motion should explain why the proposed settlement is fair and reasonable and otherwise complies with the Second Circuit's decision in *Cheeks v. Freeport Pancake House, Inc.*, 796 F.3d 199 (2d Cir. 2015). The parties are directed to this Court's rulings in *Martinez v. Avalanche Construction Group Inc.*, No. 20-CV-11065 (JLC), 2021 WL 5001415 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 28, 2021) (unreasonable restrictions on use of social media to publicize settlement stricken); *Cruz v. Relay Delivery, Inc.*, 17-CV-7475 (JLC), 2018 WL 4203720 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 4, 2018) (no reemployment provision impermissible and provision related to communication with media should not be overly restrictive); *Rivera v. Relay Delivery, Inc.*, 17-

CV-5012 (JLC), 2018 WL 1989618 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 26, 2018) (release that was broader and thus

more favorable to defendants than plaintiff's narrower release was impermissible); Howard v.

Don Coleman Advertising, Inc., 16-CV-5060 (JLC), 2017 WL 773695 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 28, 2017)

(any mutual non-disparagement provision must include carve-out for truthfulness); and Souza v.

65 St. Marks Bistro, 15-CV-327 (JLC), 2015 WL 7271747 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 6, 2015) (regarding

impermissible confidentiality provisions and the proper scope of mutual general releases), for

further guidance as to permissible and impermissible terms.

For recent settlement papers that the Court has approved, the parties are directed to the

following cases, as examples: Rodriguez v. Emenike, No. 18-CV-5786 (Dkt. Nos. 36, 38

(settlement agreement); Dkt. No. 37 (court approval order)); Yahuiti v. L Ray LLC, No. 19-CV-

1114 (Dkt. No. 24 (settlement agreement); Dkt. No. 25 (court approval order)); De Luna

Hernandez v. City Catering, No. 18-CV-3919 (Dkt. No. 49 (settlement agreement); Dkt. No. 50

(court approval order)); and Sanchez v. New York Kimchi Catering Corp., No. 16-7784 (Dkt. No.

98 (settlement agreement) and Dkt. No. 99 (court approval order).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 31, 2022

New York, New York

United States Magistrate Judge

2